1 2

22 23

///

<u>*E-FILED - 9/11/08*</u>

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DWAYNE E. DAVIS,

Petitioner,

Vs.

MIKE C. KRAMER, Warden

Respondent.

No. C 07-1578 RMW (PR)

ORDER DENYING MOTION
FOR RELIEF FROM
JUDGMENT

(Docket No. 7)

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding <u>pro se</u>, seeks a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The petition was dismissed as a second or successive petition pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b), without prejudice to refiling after petitioner obtains the necessary authorization from the Ninth Circuit. Petitioner has filed a motion for relief from judgment (Docket No. 7). Petitioner's motion addresses the merits of his petition and does not discuss the requirement that he get authorization for a second or successive application. Although a prisoner may have grounds under § 2244 (2)(b) for a second or successive application, § 2244(3)(A) requires that the applicant must "move in the *appropriate court of appeals* for an order authorizing the district court to consider the application." (emphasis added). Since petitioner has not obtained the required

1	authorization, his motion for relief from judgment is DENIED without prejudice.
2	Dated: 9/10/08 Ronald M. Whyte
3	Dated: 9/10/08 PONALD M. WHYTE
4	RONALD M. WHYTE United States District Judge
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
2021	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
_0	